

### Action taken on the Village Officer for participation in Election Campaign.

\*Q.—11. Sri E. NARAYANA GOWDA (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have received any suggestions from the High Court to take suitable action against the village officer whom the court found to have taken part in electioneering campaign, while disposing of certain election petitions recently;

(b) if so, the action taken by them so far in this behalf?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Government is not aware of any such case. If more particulars are given, Government will be glad to look into the matter immediately.

(b) Does not arise.

### Promotion of Talathis as Revenue Inspectors in Dharwar District.

\*Q.—68. Sri B. A. DESAI (Kalgatgi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that according to G.R.R.D. No.7888-49, dated 10th June 1952, the Government of Bombay had directed that 75 per cent of the permanent posts of Revenue Inspectors in the District should be filled up by promoting Talathis in the District as from 1st July 1952;

(b) if so, whether the Resolution is given effect to in Dharwar District;

(c) if not, why not?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) According to this Government Resolution only Talathis should be promoted as Circle Inspectors.

But for purposes of giving training to clerks in field work the Collector may temporarily appoint up to 25 per cent clerks as Circle Inspectors.

(b) Yes.

(c) Does not arise.

---

### Amount provided for Rural Communications and Improvement of Village Roads in 1959-60.

\*Q.—272. Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Hospet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the amount provided for the year 1959-60 for Rural Communications and improvement of village roads;

(b) the amount placed at the disposal of each of the Divisional Commissioners;

(c) the amounts distributed to each of the District Boards;

(d) the basis on which the amounts are distributed to each District Board?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Rs. 9,50,000.

(b) (1) Divisional Commissioner, Mysore Division .. Rs. 2,95,000

(2) Divisional Commissioner, Bangalore Division ... Rs. 3,30,000

(3) Divisional Commissioner, Belgaum Division ... Rs. 1,66,000

(4) Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga Division ... Rs. 1,09,000

(c) Vide statement appended.

(d) Mysore, Bangalore and Gulbarga Divisions.

On the basis of the requirements of each District Board.

Belgaum Division

On the basis of number of villages in each District.



Statement indicating the amount distributed to each of the District Boards for Rural Communications and improvement of Village Roads during 1959-60.

				Rs.
1	Mysore	...	...	54,000
2	Mandya	...	...	40,000
3	Hassan	...	...	43,000
4	Chickmagalur	...	...	40,000
5	Shimoga	...	...	40,000
6	South Kanara	...	...	43,000
7	Coorg	...	...	35,000
(To the Deputy Commissioner, Coorg District. There is no District Board in Coorg).				+20,000 (Sanctioned by Government).
8	Bangalore	...	...	62,000
9	Tumkur	...	...	62,000
10	Kolar	...	...	68,000
11	Chitradurga	...	...	62,000
12	Bellary	...	...	76,000
13	Belgaum	...	...	42,000
14	Bijapur	...	...	40,000
15	Dharwar	...	...	44,000
16	North Kanara	...	...	40,000
17	Gulbarga	...	...	49,000
18	Raichur	...	...	40,000
	Bidar	...	...	20,000

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

**SECRETARY.**—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table replies to ten questions of which notice was given during the previous Session.

## QUESTIONS OF PREVIOUS SESSION AND ANSWERS

### Amount allotted for reclamation works in Chitradurga Division.

**Q.—153.** Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the percentage of alcholanity and salanity in Ambalagere, Bhagavathikere, Dhamapura and Vanivilas Sagar tanks water and soil of wet lands irrigated by their water, in Hiriyur taluks;

(b) the amounts allotted and spent for reclamation works during 1956-57,

1957-58 and 1958-59 in each District ;

(c) the Government agency which was entrusted with this work ;

(d) the number of applications for reclamation work in Hiriyur taluk to Public Works Department rejected during the period ?

**A.**—Sri N. RACHIAH (Minister for Agriculture, Excise and Social Welfare).—

(a) Waters from Dharamapura, Ambalagere and Bhagavathi Kere, have not been analysed. The water from Vanivilas Sagar Tank contains high salanity amounting to us much as 80 to 90 parts per 1,00,000 (Lakh) and it is rather high. The PH of water also is high and it varies from 8.5 to 9.0. The soils from Javagowdanahalli Dharamapur, Imangala and Kasaba Hoblies of Hiriyur Taluk have been tested for their total salt content and the same ranges between 200 to 500 parts per lakh and their PH values are generally above 8.5 and going beyond 10 in certain cases.